

SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Housing Revenue Account's Strategic Asset Plan

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

The Strategic Asset Plan provides a clear and practical vision for ensuring the council maximises the opportunities from its asset base. It considers a wide range of information and data to rank every council housing asset based on their quality, desirability, financial worth and potential. This Housing Asset Register provides a detailed database which will form the basis of all investment decisions and ensures that a culture of long term strategic decision making is embedded within the organisation.

The strategic framework establishes the decision making processes that the council will use to achieve the five primary aims of the plan:

- To guide investment decisions when properties become void
- To guide investment decisions on planned maintenance
- To allow targeted interventions in poor quality stock or challenging areas
- To capitalise on wider opportunities that arise from integration with general fund projects and assets
- To identify sites and opportunities for new build development and regeneration

The plan will help the council achieve its commitment to being an excellent landlord providing high quality homes in confident and successful communities in which people want to live. Taking informed strategic decisions regarding the council's asset base will maximise and target resources towards meeting the following objectives:

- Continual improvement of the quality and sustainability of the council's housing stock
- Increasing the quality of the asset base through the building of new homes
- Ensuring a strategic decision making approach underpins all investment decisions
- Embracing our role as landlord by investing in our estates to create

successful communities

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Andy Kerr, Housing Strategy Manager

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Yes

Community of Identity affected:

Disability

Summary of impact:

Properties with poor accessibility are scored lower when assessing their quality as an asset, accounting for the housing needs of those with limited mobility.

5. Date CIA completed: 24/11/15

6. Signed off by: Steve Waddington

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:

Date:

8. Decision-making body:

Date:

Decision Details:

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:
Changes to the Travellers Allocation Policy

What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people. NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
	Standard of living Identity, expression and self respect Health Productive and valued activities	None	None	
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

	<i>be justified?</i>			

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
		Standard of living Identity, expression and self respect Health Productive and valued activities	None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Homes that do not provide access at ground floor level or lift access or often unsuitable for people with limited mobility		Standard of living Identity, expression and self respect Health Productive and valued activities	P	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
One of the criteria's used to assess the quality of an asset as part of the Strategic Asset Plan is the accessibility of a property.		Properties that have poor accessibility will be given a lower score as they would be a less suitable homes for someone with mobility issues, and this could lead to the disposal of property with money invested in better homes.		

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
----------	----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------

			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

	<i>be justified?</i>			

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
I				

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

--	--	--	--	--